



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,825	09/05/2003	George O. Podd	SL-101	7153
42419	7590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER	
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON			CHEN, JOSE V	
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOFFMAN E	ESTATES, IL 60195		3637	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/655,825	PODD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	José V. Chen	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2005.	•			
· = · · - ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-20,22,24,25 and 27</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.	,			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,11-15,17-20,22,24,25 and 27</u> is	/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 16</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		b by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	-	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	'				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.			
· •					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050505			

Application/Control Number: 10/655,825 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25, 24, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weitzman et al ('025). The patent to Weitzman et al teaches structure as claimed including desk, legs pivotally connected and having a concave portion, the concave portion forms a handle (figs. 1-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3637

Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 13, 17-20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chubb in view of Weitzman et al ('025) and Otte. The patent to Chubb teaches structure substantially as claimed including base (12), top portion (14), legs (46, 48) the only difference being that the legs are not specifically shaped with a recessed portion and handle and are not locked in the extended position by a snap element. However, the patent to Weitzman et al teaches providing legs pivotally connected and having a concave portion, the concave portion forms a handle (figs. 1-4) and the patent to Otte (figs. 3, 5) teaches the use of a snap element to lock the leg in the extended position. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the structure of Chubb to include leg structure with specific ergonomic shapes, as taught by Weitzman et al and a snap element to lock the position of the leg, as taught by Otte since such structure is used in the same intended purpose and environment, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Claims 2, 12, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chubb in view of Weitzman et al ('025) and Otte as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Topps et al. The patent to Chubb in view of Otte teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including storage space, the only difference being that the space is not a plurality of compartments. However, the patent to Topps et al teaches the use of providing a plurality of compartments to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Chubb in view of Otte to include a plurality of compartments, as taught by Topps et al since such structure are

Art Unit: 3637

conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose thereby providing structure as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/22/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Rothkopf et al, Patterson teach structure similar to applicant's.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3637

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 05-05-05 Application/Control Number: 10/655,825

Art Unit: 3637

Page 6